FILED 09-01-2017 Clerk of Circuit Court Outagamie County

1	STATE OF WISCONSIN CI	RCUIT COURT OUTAGAMIE 201,700 F000059
2		BRANCH I
3		
4	STATE OF WISCONSIN,	Transcript of:
5	Plaintiff	STATUS CONFERENCE
6	-vs-	
7	BRIAN D. MITCHELL,	Case No. 17-CF-59
8	Defendant	· ·
9		
10		LE MARK J. McGINNIS
11	JU	DGE PRESIDING
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17	APPEARANCES:	
18		Assistant District Attorney, 320
19	South Walnut Street, Appleton, Wisconsin 54911, appearing on behalf of the plaintiff.	
20		DT, Le Grand Kaukaulin Law Firm,
21	1033 West College Avenue, Suite B, Appleton, Wisconsi 54914-5290, appearing on behalf of the defendant.	
22	The Defendant	appeared in person.
23		
24		
25	Date of proce	eedings: June 23, 2017

1	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
2	THE COURT: We'll go on the record in
3	17-CF-59, State of Wisconsin versus Brian Mitchell.
4	Mr. Mitchell's here and with Attorney Gary Schmidt.
5	Attorney Sargent, you are here on behalf of the
6	State. We are set today for a status conference.
7	Mr. Schmidt, are you now representing Mr. Mitchell?
8	MR. SCHMIDT: Yes, I am, your Honor.
9	THE COURT: And I believe we had at our
10	last hearing, which was on June 6 There was some
11	discussion about the jury trial that we have
12	scheduled and if there's going to be any issues or
13	concerns with the attorney who gets on board.
14	Mr. Mitchell had some rather strong feelings about
15	that. I expect you have talked to him about that?
16	MR. SCHMIDT: We have discussed that and
17	believe that proposed jury date, trial date, was
18	July 17.
19	THE COURT: I believe you are right. Is
20	that a problem with your calendar?
21	MR. SCHMIDT: July 17 is not. I have that
22	whole week open.
23	THE COURT: Okay. Is that enough time for
24	you to be prepared to represent Mr. Mitchell at
25	trial?

MR. SCHMIDT: Yes, I believe it is. 1 That's four weeks away. There is some discovery 2 which I don't have which is referenced in the police 3 reports, which I do have. I did talk to Attorney 4 5 Sargent. He will be getting that for me early next 6 week. THE COURT: Mr. Sargent, anything with 7 respect to the trial date? 8 MR. SARGENT: No, judge. Actually I am 9 looking at my calendar. It looks like I have that 10 11 week open as well. THE COURT: Okay. Is that set for -- how 12 13 many days? MR. SARGENT: I don't know that we had a 14 number of days, but I would say it's probably going 15 16 to take two. THE COURT: I was going to say the dates 17 that I had down. I will have Debbie bring in the 18 calendar, but I thought we had different dates. 19 20 MR. SARGENT: Your Honor, if I may, my file reflects that we had a pretrial conference 21 22 scheduled for August 2 and then August 16. I know Mr. Mitchell had requested an earlier date via 23 letter that was sent to my office, I think, just 24 25 last week. I am assuming the Court got that as

1	well.
2	THE COURT: You know, with this new system
3	I don't know if I received it. I don't remember it.
4	MR. SARGENT: Sure.
5	THE COURT: I remember I thought I got a
6	letter from him. It didn't have If it did have
7	something to do with dates, I don't remember that.
8	I am looking on the computer right now. The clerk's
9	office is supposed to have that stuff up-to-date.
10	It doesn't look like there's a letter from
11	Mr. Mitchell which has been made part of the
12	electronic file.
13	MR. SARGENT: Looking at it, judge, it may
14	have just been sent to me.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Now that I am looking,
16	I have this case scheduled on the calendar for
17	August 16 for one day. Where did you get that
18	July date, Mr. Schmidt?
19	MR. SCHMIDT: I thought that's what my
20	client told me. That might be speculation. I know
21	he mentioned the month of July. I don't know what
22	discussions you had at previous court hearings.
23	THE COURT: Back in May there was a notice
24	sent out on May 18 indicating that the jury trial
25	was set for August 16 and the pretrial conference

was set for August 2, August 2 at 9:00, pretrial 1 2 conference; and August 16 at 8:30 is the jury trial. I guess the question that I have is do those 3 two days work for you, Mr. Schmidt? If we are going 4 5 to need more than one day, we will have to discuss that. When Mr. Muza was on the case, it was set for 6 7 one day. 8 MR. SCHMIDT: I have those days open in 9 August. THE COURT: Okay. Then how long of a 10 trial will this be, Mr. Sargent? 11 MR. SARGENT: I think if we tried to do it 12 in a day it would be a long day. I think it would 13 14 probably spill over into a second. THE COURT: Mr. Schmidt? 15 MR. SCHMIDT: I believe we could get it 16 done in one day. That might -- I don't know how 17 many officers would be testifying. It might run 18 into a second day. 19 20 THE COURT: Is there any benefit while we're all here two months in advance to put it down 21 22 for if we have time the 14th or 15th or 16th and then be able to have some flexibility dependent on 23 24 what the other trials are and how many days this, in 25 fact, needs?

1	MR. SARGENT: Sure. That's fine.
2	MR. SCHMIDT: That's okay with me.
3	THE COURT: Right now what we are going to
4	do is put it down for August 14, August 15, and
5	August 16 understanding that it's going to be a
6	two-day trial or maybe one; but we will try to get
7	it where not everybody is rushing to get it in in a
8	day. As we get closer and we get to that pretrial
9	conference, we can figure out which of those
10	two days out of the three will work. Does that work
11	all right for the State?
12	MR. SARGENT: That's fine for me, judge.
13	THE COURT: Mr. Schmidt?
14	MR. SCHMIDT: That's okay on my calendar.
15	My client tells me that the discussion about the
16	July dates occurred at the arraignment hearing.
17	However, the July date was not taken because it
18	didn't fit in Mr. Muza's, Attorney Muza's, calendar.
19	THE COURT: Okay.
20	MR. SCHMIDT: That's where the July thing
21	comes up. My client would like July if it's
22	available.
23	THE COURT: You are saying July 17?
24	MR. SCHMIDT: I pulled it out of the air.
25	I don't know if there's any truth to that. I

1	suspect the Court may have a couple trial dates in
2	July. Maybe this case could be No. 2 or No. 3 on
3	those dates.
4	THE COURT: If you are going to need more
5	than the 17th, there's a chance of it spilling over
6	to a second day. It's not going to work on the
7	18th.
8	MR. SCHMIDT: Okay.
9	THE COURT: So with respect to his speedy
10	trial demand, my understanding and I am just
11	again going off the electronic file is that the
12	case was assigned to me back on, like, May 5. We
13	had an arraignment. That arraignment was done on, I
14	think, May 18; and on May 18 a document was filed
15	called defendant's demand for speedy trial. So the
16	August dates that we talked about August 14,
17	15th, and 16th is within that 90 days.
18	MR. SCHMIDT: I agree.
19	THE COURT: Any further issues with the
20	scheduling of this, Mr. Sargent?
21	MR. SARGENT: No, your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Mr. Schmidt?
23	MR. SCHMIDT: Nothing regarding
24	scheduling, your Honor.
25	THE COURT: Okay. Anything else then

1	today?
2	MR. SCHMIDT: Yes. My client had
3	two concerns today. One was scheduling the jury
4	trial. The other was the fact that at the previous
5	hearing on June 6 the Court had found him in
6	contempt and imposed certain conditions and also
7	expressed purge conditions. My client wanted to
8	discuss that with the Court.
9	THE COURT: Okay.
10	MR. SCHMIDT: I think one of the things is
11	he's supposed to be making a verbal apology in the
12	courtroom.
13	THE COURT: Right. It was mentioned
14	before that you received a letter. I think I
15	received a letter from you, right?
16	THE DEFENDANT: Correct.
17	THE COURT: I remember getting a letter
18	from Mr. Mitchell. It's not in front of me. Again,
19	we are going electronic; and it's not in the file or
20	it's on the electronic file as best as I can tell.
21	I am not sure if anybody else has Have you seen
22	that, Mr. Sargent?
23	MR. SARGENT: I have a copy, judge.
24	THE COURT: You see my notes on there? I
25	don't know what my notes are. My understanding is

that letter satisfied that part of the purge 1 2 condition. Did I put that on my notes or not? MR. SARGENT: Judge, your notes just say 3 that all parties -- cc all parties. We will address 4 it at the 6/23 hearing. From my review it appears 5 6 to be an apology letter. THE COURT: I will find today that the 7 written apology was appropriate and satisfies one of 8 the purge conditions. I thought that was the 9 10 written document that you were talking about before, Mr. Sargent. I don't know if Mr. Mitchell had 11 commented on the jury trial issue in that letter and 12 13 the timing of it, and that's what I was referencing when I said I don't remember a reference to a jury 14 15 trial date. 16 MR. SARGENT: Sure. 17 THE COURT: Okay. So Mr. Mitchell? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 18 THE COURT: Mr. Schmidt said you wanted to 19 address that issue. 2.0 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. It's my 21 22 understanding that I was to give a publicized, verbal apology, which I intend to do. I would, 23 first, like to apologize, I mean, to you, judge, 24 your Honor, for my suspension on my outburst, and 25

1	the disrespectful manner in which the Court took it
2	in, the disrespect to the Court that day. I
3	apologize for, I mean, being my contemptuous
4	behavior that day on June 6 in the courtroom.
5	As I wrote you in the letter, I intended
6	to apologize and that I actually learned my lesson
7	from that and that I didn't think it would be in my
8	best interests. I thought I would satisfy the Court
9	in a different manner rather than lockup conditions.
10	THE COURT: Anything on that, Mr. Sargent?
11	MR. SARGENT: No, your Honor.
12	THE COURT: Mr. Schmidt?
13	MR. SCHMIDT: Nothing on that point. I
14	think my client has a cash bond, but I think also
15	the Court had sentenced him to six months in the
16	jail on the contempt. So we would ask the Court to
17	lift the six months if he's able to post the cash
18	bond. Then he has that opportunity. Otherwise
19	that's taken away from him.
20	THE COURT: I believe there were
21	three purge conditions, right? The third purge
22	condition had to do with paying a \$5,000 amount.
23	MR. SARGENT: That's correct.
24	THE COURT: I'm just going on memory.
25	MR. SARGENT: That's correct.

1	THE COURT: What is the cash bond amount,
2	Mr. Schmidt?
3	MR. SARGENT: \$15,000, judge. Sorry.
4	THE COURT: What I think needs to be done,
5	Mr. Mitchell, is the following. It's something I
6	think you need to either appreciate or, if you don't
7	appreciate it, at least understand, that is, you
8	know, in this society every day we deal with
9	individuals who are unhappy, disgruntled, emotional
10	and it's not just defendants. It's attorneys. It's
11	victims, witnesses, police officers; and in order to
12	have a system that runs efficiently or smoothly and
13	is done in a way Do you need time to get rid of
14	those documents?
15	THE DEFENDANT: No.
16	THE COURT: You notice that disrespect
17	that you are showing me in the last 35 seconds? You
18	get that, right?
19	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
20	THE COURT: Okay. It's not showing up on
21	the transcript but that's the type of disrespect
22	that shouldn't exist in a courtroom. And I only say
23	that, Mr. Mitchell, because like I told you last
24	time, I'm going to be the guy who sentences you if
25	you lose and, you know, you don't make It's not

in your best interests to carry on the way you carry on. That's okay. You can do it if you want. You can roll your eyes when I am talking. You can purposely look away, and you can look at me and give me the fuck-you look, right, that you have been giving me for the last minute and that's fine.

That's just who you are.

But what you did the last time here, the disrespect and what I thought and I characterized as an aggressive, inappropriate way, which is different than what you are doing right now, which is contemptuous behavior; and it can't be tolerated by you or by attorneys or by anybody else who comes in here or else this is just going to turn into a complete circus.

The last time when you were here and what I was saying before is every day it happens to various degrees, and over 12 years of doing this you just kind of let some of it go kind of like I did for those first 35 seconds when you were doing this today. Those first 15 seconds I'm going to let you disrespect. Then it carried on for 20 or 25 seconds. Eventually I say no, this isn't acceptable; and I'm not saying you have to respect me because you won't and you haven't and that's all

right; but you have to respect the environment and the fact that this is a courtroom and there are rules for people to follow and that's what you continue to violate.

2.0

2.2

2.3

2.4

And so before you disrespected me today for this last couple minutes with the way you are looking and the way you are carrying on, I was going to waive that \$5,000 purge condition. I thought maybe your apology was genuine. I thought what you had written was sufficient. That's what I said, but it's clear that those are just words that you don't really mean, and it's an intention that you continue to hope to carry on in the courtroom, which tells me that you don't understand what your role is here.

So the contemptuous behavior that happened last time and the purge conditions that I provided will continue. You have satisfied two out of the three conditions. The third condition will remain the same. Anything else, Mr. Sargent?

MR. SARGENT: No, your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Schmidt?

MR. SCHMIDT: I guess I could advise the Court of one other matter which is probably going to come up soon. I did obtain a copy of the transcript from the hearing on June 6. There should be a copy

in the Court's file; but due to electronic filing, 1 maybe it's not there. I guess I will have to get a 2 laptop and bring it to the court so I can keep up 3 with these documents. On the -- On the transcript 4 5 on the bottom of page 6 there's a discussion between the defendant and the Court. 6 The defendant states, "You have a problem, 7 the way you talk to people." 8 And the Court states, "Okay, good. 9 Someday I'm going to sentence you." 10 Then the defendant says, "Probably." 11 The Court says, "That's going to be a 12 problem -- " 1.3 The Defendant, "Maybe." 14 The Court, " -- for you that day." 15 My client has asked me to file a motion to 16 ask the Court to recuse himself because of that 17 statement. So I probably will be filing a motion 18 based on that section of page 6 and we probably have 19 20 to schedule a hearing to come back on that in the 21 future. 22 THE COURT: Go ahead and file it. Maybe include the conversation that we had today because I 2.3 talked to him about it as well, and you might delay 24 25 the trial. So you go ahead and file it. When you

file it, the State will have 30 days to respond.

When they respond, I'll do the research and I'll

make my decision.

Obviously on first blush I don't think it's an issue. I will highlight those reasons. I am sure you have some legal authority, Mr. Schmidt, that you can cite; and just so Mr. Mitchell understands today, when I said that to you, at the time of sentencing we take into consideration everything we know, everything we've observed including your character. As I said again today, things that you do at a hearing, the way you conduct yourself we're not blind to.

So the fact that I tell you that at a hearing I think is not only appropriate, I think it's best because hopefully a person like you who is smart -- I'm not suggesting that you are -- or that you care -- and I'm not suggesting that you do care -- you would change your behavior or your conduct and you would handle yourself in a different way.

Mr. Mitchell, as he's picking up his papers right now and continues to show that disrespect and is looking away and rolling his eyes and acting in a way that he has for the last couple

Т	nearings He obviously isn't that bright of a guy.
2	That's just the reality for him. Whether that means
3	I could be fair or that I have some bias against
4	him, whether it's perceived or it's real, I think
5	the record is clear as to what the facts are.
6	So file your motion. Make sure you
7	support, the legal authority. The State has 30 days
8	from whenever that's filed. I'm not sure I'm going
9	to waste my time with a motion hearing on it; but
10	once it's filed, I will read it. If I think it's
11	necessary to have a hearing, I will. Otherwise I
12	will just rule on it at the appropriate time.
13	Anything else, Mr. Sargent?
14	MR. SARGENT: No, sir. Thank you.
15	THE COURT: Anything else, Mr. Schmidt?
16	MR. SCHMIDT: Not right now, your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Okay. We're adjourned.
18	
19	(Proceedings concluded.)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	STATE OF WISCONSIN)
2) SS:
3	OUTAGAMIE COUNTY)
4	
5	
6	I, TERRA TORRES, RPR, RMR, CRR, do hereby
7	certify that I reported the foregoing matter and that the
8	foregoing transcript, consisting of 17 pages, has been
9	carefully compared by me with my stenographic notes as
10	taken by me in machine shorthand and by me thereafter
11	transcribed and it is a true and correct transcript of
12	proceedings had in said matter to the best of my
13	knowledge.
14	
15	Dated this 1st day of September, 2017.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	Electronically signed by Terra Torres
22	TERRA TORRES, RPR, RMR, CRR Official Reporter
23	Official Veborcer
24	
25	