Cas	se 2018CF003375	Document 15	Filed 10-29-2018	Page 1 of 14	FILED 10-29-2018 John Barrett Clerk of Circuit Court
1	STATE OF WIS	SCONSIN	CIRCUIT COURT BRANCH 12	MILWAUKEE	2018CF003375 COUNTY
3	STATE OF WIS	SCONSIN,			
4	Plair	ntiff,			
5					
6	v.		CASE NO. 20	18-CF-3375	
7	MARCUS WILBO	אסאז			
8		ndant.			
9					
10			BAIL REVIEW		
11					
12 13	OCTOBER 26,	2018			
14 15			LE DAVID L. BOROW COURT JUDGE PRESI		
16					
17	APPEARANCES	•			
18		MICHAEL LONehalf of the	SKI, Attorney at e State.	Law, appeared	i
19					
20			Attorney at Law, e Defendant.	appeared	
21					
22					
23					
24					
25			LE SEROTA-BODI, R COURT REPORTER,		

1	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS:
2	THE CLERK: 18-CF-3375, State of Wisconsin
3	v. Marcus Wilborn.
4	Appearances, please.
5	MR. LONSKI: Mike Lonski on behalf of the
6	State.
7	MR. TSAI: Good morning, Your Honor.
8	Mr. Wilborn appears in person with Attorney
9	Puck Tsai.
10	THE COURT: This is here on a violation
11	report. About a half-an-hour ago, I got information from
12	Justice Point that the defendant has been tested not once
13	but twice for drugs. Both of those tests came back
14	diluted which is a violation. He's had three prior tests
15	which were negative. He had one in August which was
16	positive for THC.
17	He's out on in what I think is shocking on a
18	PR bond, on a homicide where he allegedly was going
19	between 65 and 75 miles-per-hour in a 30 mile-an-hour
20	zone with a prohibited blood alcohol concentration and
21	Delta 9 in his system.
22	I'll hear from both sides starting with the
23	State on bail.
24	MR. LONSKI: What is his bail right now?
25	THE COURT: A PR bond.

1	MR. LONSKI: 2,500 cash.
2	THE COURT: Counsel.
3	MR. TSAI: Your Honor, I do not believe
4	that's appropriate. I can let the Court know that based
5	on my research in the past dilution could be attributed
6	to a number of different reasons. I would highlight for
7	the Court that
8	THE COURT: Like what?
9	MR. TSAI: Because somebody could be sick or
10	just drinking extra water. It's not a conclusive test
11	result.
12	THE COURT: And, of course, Counsel, as we
13	know, you may be drinking extra water if you wanted to
14	dilute a test, so it doesn't come back positive for THC
15	or coke or something else. No one comes to these tests
16	not knowing or they come into Justice Point knowing
17	there's a possibility you're going to be tested, so
18	you're only guzzling water for an obvious reason.
19	MR. TSAI: Your Honor, I'll say I can't
20	tell the Court. I wasn't with Mr. Wilborn. I can't tell
21	the Court that's the case, but I do know that, again,
22	that there's a high possibility that dilution is not
23	equated to a violation. It may not come back to be
24	positive if the result is not diluted.
25	I can let the Court know that I've spoken to

1	Mr. Wilborn. He's volunteering to test again prior to
2	going to work today. He has to be at work at 1 o'clock,
3	so he's willing to test again at 11:45 or 11:30 if the
4	Court would like to see he knows for sure he told me
5	that he would not come back positive or if the Court
6	deems it more appropriate he can come back Monday morning
7	as well.
8	I can let the Court know that he has made
9	every single Justice Point appointments besides the first
10	test that came back positive for THC which is at intake.
11	He's been negative for three times. And looking at the
12	violation report, I want to make sure the Court knows
13	that he did test diluted on October 24th. I believe
14	that's a computer system that they always put in a date
15	that's incorrect.
16	THE COURT: Let me hear from the Justice
17	Point worker.
18	Can you come up, ma'am, and have a seat next
19	to the DA. Can you state your name for the record,
20	please?

MS. KENNEDY: Kennedy Ochs; O-c-h-s. 21

22 THE COURT: What do you know about these

23 diluted tests?

24 MS. KENNEDY: The reason it's a violation is 25 because we can't determine what the results are, and I

1 can't speak to why it's diluted. 2 **THE COURT:** If anything the State's request 3 The bail is \$2,500. He goes into custody. 4 is a homicide. I'm not playing games in this case. 5 violated the terms of his monitoring, 2,500 cash. If 6 he's released he goes back to the exact same level, which 7 is I think Level 5 monitoring. 8 MR. TSAI: Your Honor, if I could jump in to 9 be heard very briefly. There's something I want to 10 clarify for the record or for the Court. I know, Your 11 Honor, you're looking at the charging document, you're 12 looking at the allegations there but --13 THE COURT: Right, looking at the 14 allegations where he allegedly had THC and alcohol in his 15 system. Now he's out on what I consider to be and, I'll 16 say this on the record, a preposterous PR bond, which, by 17 the way, is vacated and waived, so now the county can try 18 to collect their PR bond. 19 MR. TSAI: Judge, again, if I may be heard 20 on that. I wasn't -- I want to let the Court know that 21 the criminal complaint failed to mention the reason why 22 the collision happened was because -- that the deceased 23 person was running a stop sign. That's part of the 24 reason. Again, I want to be clear. I want to let the 25 the Court know that there are clear statutory defenses in

25

1	this matter when that other person also has
2	THE COURT: Hang on, counsel. What the
3	defenses are or are not has nothing to do with bail. The
4	allegations are your client was going 65 to 75
5	miles-an-hour in a 30 mile-an-hour zone, he had THC in
6	his system, he had a BAC that's over the legal limit.
7	His bail is \$2,500, which, again, I'm being exceedingly
8	generous. Let's all stop talking.
9	Madam clerk, what are the dates?
10	THE CLERK: We have a status conference
11	November 29th at 1:30.
12	THE COURT: Fine.
13	We're done.
14	Counsel, sit down.
15	Deputy, have the defendant sit down again.
16	Counsel, is there something you want to say
17	or do you want to go into custody?
18	MR. TSAI: Judge, this is Judge, I wasn't
19	able to finish any one complete sentence. That's fine
20	with Your Honor. You know I want to highlight for the
21	Court this is a person standing here in front of the
22	Court an innocent person.
23	THE COURT: No kidding. I get that.
24	Sit down. Counsel, if you don't knock

Deputy, take him into custody for contempt.

1	Rolling your eyes, throwing your hands in
2	the air, acting like I'm some kind of idiot gets you
3	locked up for contempt. We'll discuss purge later. In
4	the meantime he sits in custody.
5	(proceedings in recess)
6	THE CLERK: Recalling Case No. 18-CF-3375,
7	State of Wisconsin v. Marcus Wilborn.
8	Appearances, please.
9	MS. STYLER: Paige Styler appearing on
10	behalf of actually of Puck Tsai from our office also
11	in regards to Mr. Wilborn.
12	THE COURT: Mr. Wilborn, the defendant, is
13	not present. He's in custody based on my prior ruling.
14	I took defense counsel into custody.
15	Counsel, I'll let you allocute. The bottom
16	line is I'm letting you out, so you can say whatever you
17	think is appropriate.
18	Here's what I perceived an awful lot of
19	attitude. When you got up you were mumbling under your
20	breath about not liking my decision, then when you sat
21	down you what I perceived was you basically tossing
22	yourself back in your chair like "oh, my God, what the
23	hell is the Judge doing increasing Mr. Wilborn's bail."
24	As you know you then made a comment about
25	well, Judge, my client is innocent. Yes, counsel, I'm

24

25

1	aware of that. He's obviously innocent until proven
2	guilty. You also started to argue the facts of the case
3	that allegedly the other driver was going through a red
4	light, and I say that may or may not have been, but your
5	client allegedly was going 75 miles-an-hour and had THC
6	in his system and had a prohibited blood alcohol
7	concentration.
8	Anything you want to say?
9	MS. STYLER: Judge, before I see if Mr. Tsai
10	wants to say something, I guess what I would just say on
11	behalf of the Public Defender's office is that I know
12	Attorney Tsai well. He is a very good lawyer. He is
13	also a father of two.
14	And I guess I would just indicate to the
15	Court that in the future if there's any concerns, if the
16	Court perceives any disrespect, I would ask that a call
17	be made to me or Tom Reed or Bridget Krause or Nathan
18	Opland-Dobbs, and we'll be happy to address it with the
19	Court, and we just ask in the future that no one in our
20	office ever have to go through something like this.
21	THE COURT: That's fair.
22	MR. TSAI: I just want to
23	THE COURT: Hang on, please.

well as Mr. Opland-Dobbs. This was not something that

That's fair. Ms. Krause was here first as

1	either of us probably necessarily want to talk about, but
2	I think it was actually 10 years ago now that Ms. Krause
3	and I butted heads in DV court; is that right?
4	MS. KRAUSE: Correct.
5	THE COURT: Mr. Opland-Dobbs and I also
6	butted heads once. For counsel, the two of them will
7	tell you that they've, I guess for lack of a better term,
8	"got over it" or "I got over it" or both.
9	Is that fair, Ms. Krause.
LO	MS. KRAUSE: (Whereupon, Ms. Krause nodded
l1	her head in the affirmative.)
L2	THE COURT: Counsel, is that fair?
L3	MR. OPLAND-DOBBS: I don't disagree.
L4	THE COURT: So, go ahead, please.
L5	MR. TSAI: I just want to apologize to the
L6	Court for anything that I've done whether spoken or off
17	spoken that may have offended the Court. I want to
L8	apologize for that.
19	THE COURT: Thank you. It's over.
20	Counsel, your office and here's what also
21	comes into play. When you suggested your client being
22	retested, that too crossed my mind, and I considered
23	allowing it. Normally, what we're told as judges is
24	"well, that's not the protocol, and we're not going to
25	retest them." That's normally what we get from Justice

1	Point, and I'm confident you're aware of that. They say,
2	well, we only test randomly, and if a judge says we're
3	going to retest that's generally a "no." Is that your
4	impression; that's what Ms. Styler said and what my
5	impression has always been?
6	MR. TSAI: Well, Judge, if I may speak
7	frankly. I did get a different sister branch in the
8	courthouse agreed to retest one of my clients in a few
9	days and that did happen based on a diluted test, so
10	that's my experience just a couple of weeks ago and
11	Justice Point inclined.
12	THE COURT: I told your office that if they
13	can prevail upon Justice Point to have him retested
14	today, I'll stay my increase in his bail until he's
15	retested.
16	MR. TSAI: Thank you, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Ms. Styler, anything else?
18	MS. STYLER: No, thank you, Judge.
19	THE COURT: We're done.
20	Ms. Styler, can I talk to you one second
21	before you leave?
22	(proceedings in recess)
23	THE CLERK: Case No. 18-CF-3375, State of
24	Wisconsin v. Marcus Wilborn.
25	Appearances, please.

1	MR. LONSKI: Mike Lonski for the State.
2	MS. OCHS: Kennedy Ochs for Justice Point.
3	MR. TSAI: Good morning.
4	Mr. Wilborn appears in person with Attorney
5	Puck Tsai.
6	THE COURT: Well, what I can hopefully chuck
7	up to a bad morning, a mulligan, not a very good morning
8	for defense counsel, was not my best morning, certainly
9	was not the defendant's best morning.
10	Where we are now is back where we started
11	about three hours ago before the initial test. Defense
12	counsel and other lawyers from his office prevailed upon
13	me to ask Justice Point to retest the defendant.
14	Ms. Ochs, you did retest him, correct?
1 5	MS. OCHS: We did.
16	THE COURT: And?
17	MS. OCHS: He was negative.
18	THE COURT: Great. I'm vacating my prior
19	increase in bail.
20	Again, it was not anybody's best morning.
21	Mr. Wilborn, you're going to remain out on
22	the PR bond. As I said earlier, I have some questions
23	about the propriety of the PR bond, but you have been
24	cooperative, you've had multiple negative tests.
25	Ms. Ochs, he's otherwise cooperative with

1	monitoring, correct?
2	MS. OCHS: Yes, he is.
3	THE COURT: So, Mr. Wilborn, you are not
4	going into custody, the conditions of your PR bond
5	remain.
6	Anything else from the State?
7	MR. LONSKI: No. I don't know how a sample
8	gets diluted, but if the defendant knows what he did,
9	don't do that again, don't drink a lot of water.
10	THE COURT: I don't know exactly how it
11	does. It always strikes me as suspicious. It does count
12	as a violation. I'm not going to belabor it, but it does
13	count as a violation or I would not have been notified.
14	That's the protocol with Justice Point and others.
15	Anything else from the defense before we
16	break for today?
17	MR. TSAI: No, thank you, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: We're done.
19	If you don't mind, counsel, if I can talk to
20	you and Ms. Krause after court.
21	
22	(proceedings concluded)
23	
24	
25	

1	STATE OF WISCONSIN)
2	COUNTY OF MILWAUKEE)
3	
4	I, CAROLE SEROTA-BODI, an Official
5	Court Reporter for the circuit court of Milwaukee County,
6	Branch 12, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true
7	and accurate transcript of my original Stenographic notes
8	taken on the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
9	Dated this 27th day of October,
10	2018, at Milwaukee, Wisconsin.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	Carole Serota-Bodi, RPR
16	Official Court Reporter, Branch 12
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Ţ

Þ

ÞΤ

9T

LT

SI

13

IS

TT

OΤ

6

8

 L^{\cdot}

9

ς

ε

ZZ

SJ

50

6T

18

23

74